

ROBERT WAYNE #1 & #2 JOINT VENTURE, LLC

Seminole County, Oklahoma







TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Project Summary2 |
|--|
| Income Conversion Table3 |
| Geological Discussion – 1 of 24 |
| Geological Discussion – 2 of 25 |
| Schematic Diagram6 |
| Location Map |
| Production Map & L Gilcrease Gross Isopach |
| Hunton Structure & Hunton Gross Isopach |
| Brown Lime Structure 10 |
| Stratigraphic Cross Sections11 |
| Tax Benefits 12 |



PROJECT SUMMARY

SEMINOLE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

| Offered by | Robert Wayne #1 & #2 Joint Venture, LLC. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Managed by | Wright Drilling & Exploration, Inc. |
| Prospect | North Sportsman Lake |
| Operator of Prospect | Wright Operating, Inc. |
| Prospect Data | Two (2) New Drills to 4,400' +/- TD |
| Primary Formations | Lower Gilcrease Sand |
| | Hunton Limestone |
| | Senora Sand |
| Secondary Formations | Booch Sand |
| | Caney Sand |
| | Lower Gilcrease Sand 60 BO; 100 MCF |
| And the stand Dethe Flame Dates | Hunton Limestone 60 BO; 100 MCF |
| (Per Well) | Senora Sand Est. 10 BO |
| | Booch Sand Est. 15 BO |
| | Caney Sand Est 400 MCF |
| | Lower Gilcrease Sand: 50,000 BO; 100 MMCF |
| Total Fatimated Decomyon | Hunton Limestone: 50,000 BO; 600 MMCF |
| (Per Well) | Senora Sand: 15,000 BO |
| | Booch Sand: 20,000 BO |
| | Caney Sand: 300 MMCF |
| Total Price Per Unit | \$100,000 |
| Working Interest Per Unit | N/A |
| Net Revenue Interest Per Unit | 3.0% |
| Available Units | 16 |
| Maximum Capitalization | \$1,600,000 |



INCOME CONVERSION TABLE

ROBERT WAYNE #1 & #2

(1 Unit) \$100,000 = 3.0% NRI (Net Revenue Interest)

| Participation Level | 1 UNIT | 3⁄4 UNIT | ½ UNIT | 1⁄4 UNIT |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Amount | \$100,000 | \$75,000 | \$50,000 | \$25,000 |
| NRI | 3.00% | 2.25% | 1.50% | 0.75% |

Crude Oil: Monthly Revenue Scenarios – 1 Unit Example

| Daily Rate* | \$45 Oil | \$55 Oil | \$65 Oil | \$75 Oil |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 60 BO | \$2,462 | \$3,010 | \$3,557 | \$4,104 |
| 80 BO | \$3,283 | \$4,013 | \$4,742 | \$5,472 |
| 100 BO | \$4,104 | \$5,016 | \$5,928 | \$6,840 |
| 120 BO | \$4,925 | \$6,019 | \$7,114 | \$8,208 |
| Calculation: 80 BO x \$55 x 30 4 x 3 0% = \$4 013 | | | | |

Calculation: 80 BO x $$55 \times 30.4 \times 3.0\% = $4,013$

Crude Oil: Total Return Potential – 1 Unit Example

| Cumulative* | \$45 Oil | \$55 Oil | \$65 Oil | \$75 Oil |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 100,000 BO | \$135,000 | \$165,000 | \$195,000 | \$225,000 |
| 150,000 BO | \$202,500 | \$247,500 | \$292,500 | \$337,500 |
| 200,000 BO | \$270,00 | \$330,000 | \$390,000 | \$450,000 |
| 250,000 BO | \$337,500 | \$412,500 | \$487,500 | \$562,500 |
| Calculation: 150,000 BO x \$55 x 3.0% = \$247,500 | | | | |

Natural Gas: Monthly Revenue Scenarios – 1 Unit Example

| Daily Rate* | \$2 | \$3 | \$4 | \$5 |
|---|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| 200 MCF | \$365 | \$547 | \$730 | \$912 |
| 300 MCF | \$547 | \$821 | \$1,094 | \$1,368 |
| 400 MCF | \$730 | \$1,094 | \$1,459 | \$1,824 |
| 500 MCF | \$912 | \$1,368 | \$1,824 | \$2,280 |
| Calculation: 300 MCE x $\$3 x 30.4 x 3.0\% = \821 | | | | |

Calculation: 300 MCF x \$3 x 30.4 x 3.0% = \$821

Natural Gas: Total Return Potential – 1 Unit Example

| Cumulative* | \$2 | \$3 | \$4 | \$5 |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 150,000 MCF | \$9,000 | \$13,500 | \$18,000 | \$22,500 |
| 300,000 MCF | \$18,000 | \$27,000 | \$36,000 | \$45,000 |
| 600,000 MCF | \$36,000 | \$54,000 | \$72,000 | \$90,000 |
| 900,000 MCF | \$54,000 | \$81,000 | \$108,000 | \$135,000 |
| Calculation: 300,000 MCF x \$3 x 3.0% = \$27,000 | | | | |

The above projection returns are estimated only and actual results could be higher or lower. These estimated figures above are shown as hypothetical gross figures and do not reflect any salt water disposal costs, operational cost, fees, or taxes which may affect the daily rate and cumulative total scenarios. These hypothetical calculations are not intended to be a forecast or a projection that will result in the re-turn of investment capital or profit. These hypothetical and estimated figures are designed to be used only as an "if then" scenario.



MONROE NATURAL GAS, INC.

North Sportsman Lake Prospect

Prospect Summary Sec. 9—9N—7E

The North Sportsman Lake Prospect is in the northeast quarter of Section 9-T9N-R7E. It is located approximately six miles northeast of the city of Seminole in North-Central Seminole County. It lies within the prolific Seminole Oil Field. The primary reservoirs of the Seminole Field are the Senora, Booch, Gilcrease, Cromwell, Hunton, and Wilcox formations.

The prospect calls for drilling two vertical wells to test the Lower Gilcrease Sand and the Hunton Limestone with secondary potential in the Senora, Booch, and Caney formations. There is possible production associated with the Earlsboro and Cromwell Sands as well. Other than the Caney, all of these formations have proven productive within section 9 with varying results.

Adjacent to the proposed locations, the Miss Mia #1-9 shows Lower Gilcrease and Caney formations that calculate productive and look similar to analogy producers in the area.

In section 9, there are 5 wells that produced from the Hunton Limestone. Reserves range from no oil to 10 MBO and 5,418 MCF to 1.7 BCF. The unpredictability of the formation is demonstrated by the Selby #2 [1981] recovery of 5,418 MCF and 19 years later, an offset well was drilled. The Wadi #9-1 has made 8.5 MBO and 746,000 MCF. The difference in these recoveries is a function of porosity development and not structure.

Primary Targets:

- Lower Gilcrease Sand—3,640'—40-50 MBO & 100 MMCF
- Hunton Limestone-4,310'-25-50 MBO & 200-600 MMCF



GEOLOGICAL REPORT — REFERENCE 2 OF 2

Secondary Targets:

- Senora Sand—2,680'—5-15 MBO
- Booch Sand—3,530'—10-20 MBO
- Caney Sand—3,980'—200-300 MMCF

Recommendation

The proposed locations are located in the center of SE NE and the center of NW NE of section 9–9N–7E, Seminole County, Oklahoma. The wells have the potential to produce 50–100 MBO and 0.5–1 BCF each over the life of the wells. Given the proven developed multi-pay zones, this prospect is considered low-risk.

Submitted by,

Brent M. Maze Petroleum

Geologist/President



SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM



Primary Formation

Gilcrease Sand & Hunton Limestone

Secondary Formations

Senora Sand, Booch Sand & Caney Sand



LOCATION MAP



PRODUCTION MAP & L GILCREASE GROSS ISOPACH







HUNTON STRUCTURE & HUNTON GROSS ISOPACH





BROWN LIME STRUCTURE







STRATIGRAPHIC CROSS SECTIONS





TAX BENEFITS

Listed below is a basic and very general summary of certain items in the U.S. Internal Revenue Code relating to oil and gas exploration.* The tax incentives shown below may enhance the economics of an oil and gas investment. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 and other Acts specifically exempt oil and gas Working Interests from being classified as "Passive Income". For more detailed discussion of the tax consequences of oil and gas investments, please refer to the Confidential Private Placement Memorandum. You should consult your tax advisor.

PRODUCING WELL

Approximately 80% - 85% of the investment constitutes what are known as Intangible Drilling Costs "IDC's", and may be written off one's ordinary income in the year incurred.

The remaining 15% - 20% of the investment constitutes what are known as Tangible Drilling Costs "TDC's". This portion of your investment is depreciated over 7 years using the Accelerated Cost Recovery System (ACRS).

DEPLETION ALLOWANCE

In addition, 15% of the gross income from a producing well is not taxable, and therefore provides an investor with tax-sheltered income.

DRY HOLE

All dollars invested are written off as an ordinary loss against ordinary income in the year incurred.

EXAMPLE TAX WORKSHEET

| Investment for 1 Unit (8.73% WI, 7.00% NRI) | \$100,000 |
|--|---|
| First Year Deductions: | |
| Intangible Drilling Costs "IDC" (85%) & other deductible | \$100,000 x 85% (IDC) = \$85,000 |
| Expenses pursuant to the IRS Section 469(c)(3) | \$85,000 x 33% (Tax Bracket) = \$28,050 |
| Tangible Drilling Costs "TDC" (15%) | \$100,000 x 15% (TDC) = \$15,000 |
| Written off per IRS ACRS depreciation over 7 years | \$15,000 / 7 Years = \$2,143 |
| | \$2,143 x 33% Tax Bracket = \$707 |
| First Year Deductions Summary | \$28,050 + \$ 707 = \$ 28,757 |
| "Net Investment" Capitalized after 1st Year Deductions | \$100,000 - \$28,757 = \$71,243 |

* Wright Drilling & Exploration, Inc. does not provide tax advice. The above outline of tax benefits, while generally applicable for most investors in oil and gas drilling projects, is a mere example and is not intended to cover all tax consequences. Each investor must consult with his/her own tax advisor, with respect to individual tax matters





| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |





120 S. Austin Ave #101 Denison, Texas 75020 USA 00 1 214 447 0202 WrightDrilling.com

Direct contact to:

Michael Boos 00 1 407 761 9924 mboos86@yahoo.com



MikeBoos86























